

The review process

Common Market Law Review is an academic journal. Upon receipt of a contribution, the Managing Editor will determine whether the content of the contribution falls within the scope of the journal. If this is the case, and if the contribution is not manifestly unsuitable, the peer review procedure will be initiated. Manuscripts accepted for peer review, whether unsolicited or solicited, undergo double blind peer review.

The Managing Editor will inform the author of the decision of the Editorial Board, based on the peer review, and where appropriate will communicate the changes to the article which are deemed necessary. The Editorial Board will attempt to give a decision within four to eight weeks following submission.

Submissions which are positively assessed in the review process may nonetheless be refused on grounds of editorial policy, also mentioned in our House Rules.

With regard to working papers and earlier drafts circulated online, such articles are expected to be substantially changed or developed in order to be eligible for publication in Common Market Law Review.

Authors are requested to indicate in the first footnote if their manuscript has previously been published in a language other than English.

Accepted manuscripts are desk edited by qualified in-house copy editors before publication, but basic compliance with the Review's House Style remains the author's responsibility prior to final submission.