**Review procedure of “Judicial Review”**

1. All the materials submitted to “Judicial Review” are first editorially evaluated by the members of the editorial board. The editorial board may ask people from outside the board for their evaluation.
2. Two reviewers are appointed to evaluate every publication that is not a report or review of another publication. All aspects of the academic workshop are subject to evaluation.
3. The review is prepared in writing and may assume the form of:
	1. a positive review;
	2. a positive review on condition that specified changes are made;
	3. a negative review. The originals of the reviews are kept at the editorial office of the “Judicial Review”.
4. The period for waiting for a review is approximately 4 weeks.
5. The identities of the reviewers of the individual materials are not disclosed to the authors.
6. The list of reviewers working with the journal, without identifying the reviewer of the given publication, is posted in the “Judicial Review” website.
7. These principles have been drafted in accordance with the guidelines published by the Minister of Science and Higher Education.

See also: Responsibilities of reviewers in Ethical principles concerning publications in academic journals, <https://www.wolterskluwer.com/pl-pl/solutions/czasopisma/ethical-principles>