Review procedure in 'The State and the Law"

After an initial editorial assessment is conducted, all academic articles, reviews, discussions and legal commentaries submitted for publication in 'The State and the Law' undergo a double-blind peer review process (the identities of the authors are not disclosed to the reviewers and the identities of the reviewers remain unknown to the authors).

Every article is assessed by at least two independent reviewers specializing in the area which the presented manuscript addresses. There must not be a relationship with the authors that can lead to a conflict of interests. In particular, the review addresses originality and the academic significance of the submission, the correctness of the methodology and subject matter, the quality of the arguments, the use of literature and judgments, as well as the manuscript's contribution to the development of legal scholarship.

If a discrepancy arises between reviews or if other matters related to the content of the submitted manuscript need clarification, the editor-in-chief may appoint a further reviewer.

The review ends with the recommendation to accept the submission for publication, accept it after its amendment, reassess it after significant changes are made or refuse to publish it. The editor-in-chief makes the final decision on whether or not to accept the manuscript for publication based on the results of the review, the academic quality of the submission and the journal's profile.

The review process is conducted with the observance of the principles of confidentiality, impartiality, academic integrity and the prevention of conflicts of interest, in accordance with the principles of ethics regarding publications in Wolters Kluwer Poland's academic journals.

'The State and the Law' editorial office does not accept reviews submitted by the authors of the manuscript.

The length of the review procedure depends on the number of manuscripts received for publication at the given time and the workload of the editorial office and the reviewers. The average time for the initial assessment of an article is 30 working days, while the average waiting time for a review can be 60 working days. After two positive reviews are obtained, the time in which the manuscript is published depends, among other things, on the number of submissions waiting for publication, the type of submission (article, commentary, review) and the significance to the current academic debate. The journal's editorial office makes every effort to ensure that the average waiting time for publication does not exceed a few months.

A member of the editorial office or editorial committee, who is an author or co-author of a submitted manuscript, cannot participate in its assessment, the choice of reviewers or in any decision-making regarding publication. See review form