

Review process in European Judiciary Review

1. All submitted manuscripts are subject to initial preliminary editorial evaluation by Editor-in-Chief and Managing Editor to determine whether a submitted text meets basic editorial requirements.
2. All submitted manuscripts are reviewed by independent reviewers from among the Members of the Scientific Council, Editorial Board or other experts identified by the Editorial Board. Editor-in-chief and Managing Editor are responsible for ensuring that reviewers do not review manuscripts where the conflict of interests (resulting from a relationship with an author, company or institution related to work) may occur.
3. The European Judiciary Review applies the double blind-peer review principle, i.e. the authors' names are not revealed to the reviewers nor are the reviewers' names revealed to the authors and other reviewer.
4. Reviewers submit their reviews on a review form provided by the Managing Editor together with the manuscript for review.
5. Reviews are prepared in a written form, as:
 - a) positive review
 - b) positive review conditional on introducing necessary changes suggested by the reviewer
 - c) negative review
6. Reviews shall be prepared in 4 weeks time. The Managing Editor informs the Author about the result of the review and – as a case may be – about the necessity to introduce changes to the submitted text.
7. Manuscripts are accepted for publication only after a positive recommendation of the reviewers. If the reviewers suggested changes to the manuscript, the text is accepted for publication only if the author introduced these modifications or duly explained why they cannot be accepted in whole or in part.